

知 An EVPN routing cannot learn problem handling experience case

Switches 唐勳 2021-03-26 Published

Network Topology

In the EVPN networking, Border is connected to the external network through VPC1 (VRFA). The VM belongs to VPC2 (VRFB) and hangs under the leaf. Border passes the routes learned from the external network to leaf through EVPN, and connects the two VPCs (using the BGP RT attribute to enable routes to learn from each other).

Problem Description

The remote Border device advertises the prefix route 110.1.1.0/24 through the EVPN control plane. The local leaf checks the system routing table of the VPN VRFB, but there is no such route.

Process Analysis

1. Check whether the EVPN neighbor status between the device and the RR is normal. Established is the normal state.

```
display bgp instance SDM_INSTANCE_BGP peer l2vpn evpn
BGP local router ID: 2.2.2.6
Local AS number: 65205
Total number of peers: 2 Peers in established state:
2
* - Dynamically created peer
Peer AS MsgRcvd MsgSent OutQ PrefRcv Up/Down State
2.2.2.4 65205 1468 1729 0 6 21:48:01 Establ
ished
2.2.2.5 65205 1407 1461 0 6 21:48:05 Establ
ished
```

2. Prefix routing is delivered through TYPE 5 routing messages. The sending and receiving of TYPE 5 prefix routing is controlled by the RT configured in the address-family ipv4 view under the ip vpn-instance instance. Second, you need to check whether the RT attributes configured at both ends meet the requirements for BGP route import (the RT attribute carried in the route must have at least one value belonging to the import-extcommunity list configured on the receiving end).

```
ip vpn-instance VRFB
route-distinguisher 1:24001
#
address-family ipv4
export route-policy SDM_POLICY_EXPORT_IPV4_VRFB
vpn-target 0:24001 1:24001 0:25001 import-extcommunity
vpn-target 1:24001 export-extcommunity
```

3. Check the EVPN TYPE5 route in BGP to check whether there is a prefix route advertised by the remote end. It can be seen from the following information that the remote prefix route can be received, and the status in the BGP routing table is also valid, and the optimal route is also calculated.

```
[LA1]display bgp instance SDM_INSTANCE_BGP l2vpn evpn route-type ip-prefix
BGP local router ID is 2.2.2.6
Status codes: * - valid, > - best, d - dampened, h - history,
s - suppressed, S - stale, i - internal, e - external
Origin: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete
Total number of routes from all PEs: 9
Route distinguisher: 4:25001
Total number of routes: 4
```

Path/Ogn	Network	NextHop	MED	LocPrf	PrefVal
* >i [5] [0] [24] [110.1.1.0]/80					
0	0	i	2.2.2.1	0	10
* i			2.2.2.1	0	10
0	0	i			

4. Check whether the prefix route is generated normally in the evpn routing-table table, and it is found that the above-mentioned prefix route is not generated. It can be seen from this that the problem occurs in the process of adding the route optimized by BGP to the evpn routing-table table.

```
[LA1]display evpn routing-table vpn-instance VRFB
```

```
VPN instance:VRFB          Local L3VNI:24001
Resolved after adding the relevant configuration of the L3VNI interface.
IP address                Next hop                Outgoing interface      NibID
10.1.1.2.48              2.2.2.8                Vsi-interface24001     0x1800000
0
```

5. View the detailed information of the above prefix routing. It is found that the route attributes carried in the following routes are normal, such as extended community attributes such as RT and L3VNI.

```
[LA1]display bgp instance SDN_INSTANCE_BGP l2vpn evpn route-distinguisher 4:25001 route-type ip-prefix [5][0][24][110.1.1.0] 80
```

```
BGP local router ID: 2.2.2.6
```

```
Local AS number: 65205
```

```
Route distinguisher: 4:25001
```

```
Total number of routes: 2
```

```
Paths: 2 available, 1 best
```

```
BGP routing table information of [5][0][24][110.1.1.0]/80:
```

```
From : 2.2.2.4 (2.2.2.4)
```

```
Rely nexthop : 3.3.0.33
```

```
Original nexthop: 2.2.2.1
```

```
OutLabel : NULL
```

```
Ext-Community : <RT: 0:25001>, <RT: 1:25001>, <Encapsulation Type: VXLAN>, <Router's MAC: 84d9-31f5-3908>
```

```
AS-path : (null)
```

```
Origin : igp
```

```
Attribute value : MED 0, localpref 100, pref-val 0
```

```
State : valid, internal, best
```

```
Originator : 2.2.2.1
```

```
Cluster list : 2.2.2.4
```

```
IP precedence : N/A
```

```
QoS local ID : N/A
```

```
Traffic index : N/A
```

```
EVPN route type : IP prefix advertisement route
```

```
ESI : 00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00
```

```
Ethernet tag ID : 0
```

```
IP prefix : 110.1.1.0/24
```

```
Gateway address : 0.0.0.0
```

```
MPLS label : 25001
```

6. To generate a route in the routing table, the next hop of this route must be reachable. The EVPN networking is quite special. In the implementation of symmetric IRB forwarding, the next hop of the remote route is the loopback port address of the remote VTEP. The device needs to generate the arp entry of the next hop, and the MAC address in the arp entry is The Router's MAC: 84d9-31f5-3908 value in the extended community attribute carried in the above detailed routing information. At the same time, the outgoing interface is the VSI interface of the L3VNI carried in the route. Check whether an ARP entry for the next hop is formed on the device. It can be seen from the following information that the device does not generate the next hop arp information, which eventually causes the routing to fail to take effect.

```
[L1]display arp 2.2.2.1
```