


# 知 OSPF IP地址冲突问题定位指导


孔天娇 2016-01-19 发表



OSPF组网中时常会出现在不同的设备上，由于接口IP地址配置冲突而导致路由震荡的问题。在OSPF网络中，接口IP地址配置冲突有可能导致OSPF的LSA频繁的老化和重产生。此时通常伴随设备路由频繁刷新、路由计算出错、设备CPU利用率高（route进程高）的问题。

Network LSA，由DR负责产生和清除。如果网络中同区域某台设备上的地址和DR地址相同时就有可能会导致network LSA误被清除或者重产生。可以通过反复查看display ospf lsdb发现LSA震荡。这种冲突分为两种情况：

1、DR与非DR冲突，即网络中同区域有两台设备具有相同IP地址（如172.16.0.1），一台设备为此网段DR（如SWA），可以产生Network LSA；一台为非此网段DR（如SWC），RTB为中间设备。



1) 在冲突网段的DR-SWA上每隔一秒输入display ospf lsdb，发现冲突网段的Network LSA 172.16.0.1的Age非自然增长，一直为较小值；AdvRouter一直为1.1.1.1；而且Sequence字段增加很快。

<SWA>dis ospf lsdb

```
OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 1.1.1.1
Link State Database
Area: 0.0.0
Type LinkState ID AdvRouter Age Len Sequence Metric
Router 3.3.3.3 3.3.3.3 127 48 8000001F 0
Router 1.1.1.1 1.1.1.1 879 36 8000000E 0
Router 4.4.4.4 4.4.4.4 130 48 8000000B 0
Router 2.2.2.2 2.2.2.2 128 48 80000017 0
Network 172.16.0.3 4.4.4.4 121 32 80000002 0
Network 172.16.0.1 1.1.1.1 8 32 800002C4 0
Network 192.168.0.1 2.2.2.2 120 32 80000006 0
```

<SWA>dis ospf lsdb

```
OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 1.1.1.1
Link State Database
Area: 0.0.0
Type LinkState ID AdvRouter Age Len Sequence Metric
Router 3.3.3.3 3.3.3.3 132 48 8000001F 0
Router 1.1.1.1 1.1.1.1 884 36 8000000E 0
Router 4.4.4.4 4.4.4.4 135 48 8000000B 0
Router 2.2.2.2 2.2.2.2 133 48 80000017 0
Network 172.16.0.3 4.4.4.4 126 32 80000002 0
Network 172.16.0.1 1.1.1.1 2 32 800002C5 0
Network 192.168.0.1 2.2.2.2 125 32 80000006 0
```

<SWA>dis ospf lsdb

```
OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 1.1.1.1
Link State Database
Area: 0.0.0
Type LinkState ID AdvRouter Age Len Sequence Metric
Router 3.3.3.3 3.3.3.3 141 48 8000001F 0
Router 1.1.1.1 1.1.1.1 893 36 8000000E 0
Router 4.4.4.4 4.4.4.4 144 48 8000000B 0
Router 2.2.2.2 2.2.2.2 142 48 80000017 0
Network 172.16.0.3 4.4.4.4 135 32 80000002 0
Network 172.16.0.1 1.1.1.1 4 32 800002C6 0
```

Network 192.168.0.1 2.2.2.2 134 32 80000006 0

2) 在中间路由器SWB上每隔一秒输入display ospf lsdb, 发现冲突网段的Network LSA 172.16.0.1 Age

e不断在3600和其他较小值之间切换; AdvRouter一直为1.1.1.1; 而且Sequence字段增加很快。

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	456	48	8000001F	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1266	36	8000000E	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	460	48	8000000B	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	457	48	80000017	0
Network	172.16.0.3	4.4.4.4	450	32	80000002	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	3600	32	800002E7	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	449	32	80000006	0

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	460	48	8000001F	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1270	36	8000000E	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	464	48	8000000B	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	461	48	80000017	0
Network	172.16.0.3	4.4.4.4	454	32	80000002	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	10	32	800002E8	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	453	32	80000006	0

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	463	48	8000001F	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1273	36	8000000E	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	467	48	8000000B	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	464	48	80000017	0
Network	172.16.0.3	4.4.4.4	457	32	80000002	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	3600	32	800002E8	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	456	32	80000006	0

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	469	48	8000001F	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1279	36	8000000E	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	473	48	8000000B	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	470	48	80000017	0
Network	172.16.0.3	4.4.4.4	463	32	80000002	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	7	32	800002E9	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	462	32	80000006	0

3) 在地址冲突设备SWC上每隔一秒输入display ospf lsdb, 发现冲突网段的Network LSA 172.16.0.1

的age一直为3600或者偶尔没有这条LSA; AdvRouter一直为1.1.1.1; 而且Sequence字段增加很快。

<SWC>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 3.3.3.3

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
------	--------------	-----------	-----	-----	----------	--------

```

Router 3.3.3.3      3.3.3.3      1054 48 8000001F 0
Router 1.1.1.1      1.1.1.1      37 36 8000000F 0
Router 4.4.4.4      4.4.4.4      1057 48 8000000B 0
Router 2.2.2.2      2.2.2.2      1057 48 80000017 0
Network 172.16.0.3  4.4.4.4      1047 32 80000002 0
Network 172.16.0.1  1.1.1.1      3600 32 8000032A 0
Network 192.168.0.1 2.2.2.2      1048 32 80000006 0

```

<SWC>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 3.3.3.3

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	1058	48	8000001F	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	41	36	8000000F	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	1061	48	8000000B	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	1061	48	80000017	0
Network	172.16.0.3	4.4.4.4	1051	32	80000002	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	1052	32	80000006	0

<SWC>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 3.3.3.3

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	1070	48	8000001F	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	53	36	8000000F	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	1073	48	8000000B	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	1073	48	80000017	0
Network	172.16.0.3	4.4.4.4	1063	32	80000002	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	3600	32	8000032C	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	1064	32	80000006	0

Network LSA震荡过程分析如下：

因为只有DR可以产生Network LSA。 SWA生成Network LSA, Linkstate ID为172.16.0.1, 在所在区域泛洪。 SWB收到该LSA, 进行相应处理并通告给邻居SWC。

SWC收到该LSA后, 检查发现该LSA LinkState ID 172.16.0.1与自身接口IP一致, 认为该LSA是自己产生的。但是发布路由器AdvRouter和自己的Router ID不一致, RTC将接收到的LSA中的LS age增加至Maxage, 其余不做改变 (包括ADV router、Sequence) 并重新泛洪, 从路由域中清除。

关于自己产生的LSA定义: 1) 该LSA的发布路由器和自身的Router ID一致, 或者2) 该LSA为network-LSA, 其Link State ID (Type 2 LSA Link State ID为DR的IP地址) 和自身某个接口IP一致。

A self-originated

LSA is detected when either 1) the LSA's Advertising Router is equal to the router's own Router ID or 2) the LSA is a network-LSA and its Link State ID is equal to one of the router's own IP interface addresses.

关于收到自身产生LSA的处理

OSPF设备收到自身产生的LSA, 且比当前实例的更新, 会重新生成该 LSA, 同时序列号增加1。但也有特例: 如2类LSA, 其Link State ID和自身某个接口IP一致, 但是AdvRouter不是自身, 此时会将该LSA的age置为3600, 在路由域泛洪。

Receiving self-originated LSAs

However, if the received self-originated LSA is newer than the

last instance that the router actually originated, the router must take special action. The reception of such an LSA indicates that there are LSAs in the routing domain that were originated by the router before the last time it was restarted. In most cases, the router must then advance the LSA's LS sequence number one past the received LS sequence number, and originate a new instance of the LSA.

It may be the case the router no longer wishes to originate the received LSA. Possible examples include: 1) the LSA is a summary-LSA or AS-external-LSA and the router no longer has an (advertisable) route to the destination, 2) the LSA is a network-LSA but the router is no longer Designated Router for the network or 3) the LSA is a network-LSA whose Link State ID is one of the router's own IP interface addresses but whose

Advertising Router is not equal to the router's own Router ID  
 (this latter case should be rare, and it indicates that the  
 router's Router ID has changed since originating the LSA). In  
 all these cases, instead of updating the LSA, the LSA should be  
 flushed from the routing domain by incrementing the received  
 LSA's LS age to MaxAge and reflooding

如何判断收到LSA是否更新

相同条件下：如同sequence、checksums，age值为maxage会被认为是更新的LSA。

Determining which LSA is newer

An LSA is identified by its LS type, Link State ID and  
 Advertising Router. For two instances of the same LSA, the LS  
 sequence number, LS age, and LS checksum fields are used to  
 determine which instance is more recent:

The LSA having the newer LS sequence number is more recent.

If both instances have the same LS sequence number, then:

If the two instances have different LS checksums, then the  
 instance having the larger LS checksum (when considered as a  
 16-bit unsigned integer) is considered more recent.

Else, if only one of the instances has its LS age field set  
 to MaxAge, the instance of age MaxAge is considered to be  
 more recent.

Else, if only one of the instances has its LS age field set  
 to MaxAge, the instance of age MaxAge is considered to be  
 more recent.


SWB从SWC收到更新（newer）LSA，更新数据库并继续泛洪给SWA。SWA收到该老化LSA，因为该LSA的发布路由器和自身的Router ID一致，认为是该Network LSA是自身产生的，且该接收到的LSA比当前实例的更新（当sequence、checksums都相同的情况下LS age为MaxAge被认为更新），SWA会重新生成一个新的该Network LSA，序列号增加1，在区域内更新。

SWC对该LSA进行老化清除、SWA重新生成。如此反复，造成Network LSA震荡。如果有路由经过该链路，因该Network LSA时有时无，会导致路由计算出错。

DR与非DR冲突时，首先根据这条振荡的Network LSA(display ospf lsdb可以看到)的LinkState ID可以知道冲突的IP地址，然后根据AdvRouter找到其中的一台设备进而定位出是哪个接口。与其冲突的设备很难通过OSPF自身携带的信息找到，因为老化LSA会在整个区域泛洪，很难通过查看ospf信息判断哪台设备始发该LSA。只能通过网络IP地址规划找到或者整个区域内逐台排查。

如上例中，可以首先判断出冲突的IP地址为172.16.0.1，其中一台冲突设备的Router ID为1.1.1.1；而与其冲突的另外一台设备（3.3.3.3）无法通过OSPF自身携带的信息找到。

2、两个DR的IP地址冲突，即网络中同区域有两台设备具有相同IP地址（假设为172.16.0.1），且都为该网段的DR（如SWA、SWC），都可以产生network LSA，RTB为中间设备。



1) 在冲突网段的DR-SWA上每隔一秒输入display ospf lsdb，会发现存在两个LinkState Id都为172.16.0.1的Network LSA；AdvRouter分别为1.1.1.1和3.3.3.3；AdvRouter为自身1.1.1.1的Age非自然增长，一直处于较小值，且Sequence字段增加很快，说明该LSA一直在重新生成；AdvRouter为3.3.3.3的Age为3600，且Sequence字段也在增长，说明SWA在不断老化SWC发送的Network LSA。

SWC的显示类似，SWA、SWC互相删除对方发生的Network LSA，各自重新生成。如此反复，导致网络震荡。

<SWA>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 1.1.1.1

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	296	48	80000025	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1119	36	80000011	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	297	48	80000011	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	738	48	8000001A	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	8	32	8000053A	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	731	32	80000009	0

<SWA>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 1.1.1.1

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	299	48	80000025	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1122	36	80000011	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	300	48	80000011	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	741	48	8000001A	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	3600	32	80000024	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	0	32	8000053B	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	734	32	80000009	0

<SWA>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 1.1.1.1

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	308	48	80000025	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1131	36	80000011	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	309	48	80000011	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	750	48	8000001A	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	3600	32	80000025	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	2	32	8000053C	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	743	32	80000009	0

<SWC>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 3.3.3.3

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	409	48	8000002B	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	497	36	80000017	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	1023	48	80000016	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	889	48	80000020	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	3	32	80000477	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	881	32	8000000F	0

<SWC>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 3.3.3.3

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	423	48	8000002B	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	511	36	80000017	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	1037	48	80000016	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	903	48	80000020	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	3600	32	80000997	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	0	32	80000479	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	895	32	8000000F	0

<SWC>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 3.3.3.3

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	431	48	8000002B	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	519	36	80000017	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	1045	48	80000016	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	911	48	80000020	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	3600	32	80000998	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	8	32	80000479	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	903	32	8000000F	0

2) 在中间路由器SWB上每隔一秒输入display ospf lsdb，可以看到SWA、SWC LSA互删和重新生成的过程。

SWB上存在两个LinkState Id都为172.16.0.1的Network LSA； AdvRouter分别为1.1.1.1和3.3.

3.3；而且Sequence字段增加很快。

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

```

a      Area: 0.0.0.0
Type  LinkState ID  AdvRouter   Age Len Sequence Metric
Router 3.3.3.3      3.3.3.3    530 48 80000025 0
Router 1.1.1.1      1.1.1.1    1420 36 80000011 0
Router 4.4.4.4      4.4.4.4    530 48 80000011 0
Router 2.2.2.2      2.2.2.2    1007 48 8000001A 0
Network 172.16.0.1  3.3.3.3    9 32 8000003B 0
Network 172.16.0.1  1.1.1.1    9 32 80000551 0
Network 192.168.0.1 2.2.2.2   999 32 80000009 0

```

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	534	48	80000025	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1424	36	80000011	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	534	48	80000011	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	1011	48	8000001A	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	3600	32	8000003B	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	3600	32	80000551	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	1003	32	80000009	0

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	537	48	80000025	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1427	36	80000011	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	537	48	80000011	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	1014	48	8000001A	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	3600	32	8000003B	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	7	32	80000552	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	1006	32	80000009	0

<SWB>display ospf lsdb

OSPF Process 1 with Router ID 2.2.2.2

Link State Database

Area: 0.0.0.0

Type	LinkState ID	AdvRouter	Age	Len	Sequence	Metric
Router	3.3.3.3	3.3.3.3	540	48	80000025	0
Router	1.1.1.1	1.1.1.1	1430	36	80000011	0
Router	4.4.4.4	4.4.4.4	540	48	80000011	0
Router	2.2.2.2	2.2.2.2	1017	48	8000001A	0
Network	172.16.0.1	3.3.3.3	10	32	8000003C	0
Network	172.16.0.1	1.1.1.1	3600	32	80000552	0
Network	192.168.0.1	2.2.2.2	1009	32	80000009	0

Network LSA震荡过程分析如下：

因为只有DR可以产生network LSA。 SWA、 SWC都可以生成该Network LSA， Linkstate ID为172.16.0.1， AdvRouter分别为SWA、 SWC。

以SWA发送Network LSA更新为例， SWB收到该LSA， 进行相应处理并通告给邻居SWC。

SWC收到该LSA， 检查发现该LSA LinkState ID与自身接口IP一致， 认为该LSA是自己产生的。但是发布路由器AdvRouter和自己的Router ID不一致， SWC将该LSA中的LS age增加至Maxage， 其余不做改变（包括AdvRouter、 Sequence）并重新泛洪， 清除该LSA。

SWB从SWC收到更新（newer）LSA， 更新数据库并继续将该LSA泛洪给SWA， SWA收到该老化LSA后， 因该LSA的发布路由器和自身的Router ID一致， 认为该Network LSA是自身产生的， 且该接收的LSA比当前实例的更新（当sequence、 checksums都相同的情况下LS age为MaxAge被认为更新）， SWA会重新生成一个新的该Network LSA， 序列号增加1，在区域泛洪。

同理SWC发送的该network LSA更新， 到达SWA， 会被SWA老化清除， 重新泛洪。而SWC收到该老化LSA， SWC会重新生成一个新的该Network LSA， 序列号增加1，在区域泛洪。

SWA、 SWC互相老化对方产生的Network LSA， 各自重新生成。如此反复， 网络震荡。

DR与DR冲突时可以根据这两个LinkState Id相同的Network LSA(display ospf lsdb)的LinkState Id和AdvRouter判断出是哪台设备的哪个接口IP地址冲突了。

如上例中， 很容易定位出是Router ID为3.3.3.3和1.1.1.1的两台设备上存在IP地址冲突的接口， 然后再根据LinkState Id 172.16.0.1很容易就找到对应的接口。

查出冲突IP，修改配置。不要让在网设备有相同的地址运行。